

1 **AI-driven Personal Informatics for Individuals with Severe Spinal Cord Injury**

2
3 TAMANNA MOTAHAR, University of Utah, USA

4 JASON WIESE, University of Utah, USA

5
6
7 **ACM Reference Format:**

8 Tamanna Motahar and Jason Wiese. 2022. AI-driven Personal Informatics for Individuals with Severe Spinal Cord Injury. 1, 1
9 (March 2022), 4 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnn.nnnnnnn>

10
11
12 **1 INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND**

13 Although Personal Informatics (PI) research continues to expand in the breadth of domains and individual user contexts
14 it covers, there is a paucity of work examining users with motor disabilities. In particular, individuals who sustain
15 a traumatic spinal cord injury (SCI) undergo an abrupt and dramatic change that disrupts their lives and impacts
16 how they might use PI tools [2]. AI-driven systems [9] that mediate consequential sense-making, decision-making,
17 coordination, and many other aspects of personal and social lives can enrich the domain of PI with the utility of
18 interactions, personal preferences, contexts, and goals of this population and empower them with control over their
19 own life and reduced cognitive workload. In this position paper, we discuss opportunities and challenges for using
20 AI-driven personal informatics to support this population.
21

22
23 In the US, 17,730 people sustain SCI each year on average [7], and worldwide between 250,000 and 500,000 people
24 acquire an SCI every year [17]. SCIs can occur to anyone, and they are most commonly caused by motor vehicle
25 accidents, catastrophic falls, or sports injuries [8]; these typically result in loss of physical ability and sensation. In
26 contrast to other progressive reasons for motor disabilities, SCI causes an immediate loss of motor functions and
27 abruptly changes an individual’s life [18]. These traumatic incidents and resulting disabilities can be severe, and can
28 force previously independent people into becoming dependent on others for basic daily activities.
29

30
31 Individuals with severe spinal cord injuries (SCI) develop a range of impairments and motor disabilities [2], including
32 limited sensation in hands, arms, elbows, and upper body functions that can necessitate the use of power-operated
33 wheelchairs (PWC). Additionally, they need to adopt several new self-care routines they will need to complete for the
34 rest of their live [1], including pressure relief (PR), respiratory care, bladder and bowel management. They must carry
35 out these self-care routines frequently throughout the day; they are also particularly complex for PWC users, and often
36 require additional assistance. For instance, individuals need to perform between 30 and 50 PRs each day – every 20
37 minutes – to prevent pressure ulcers. They can perform a PR by changing their sitting position in PWC manually or
38 with the tilt function of the PWC to redistribute the tissue load. Performing this high-frequency self-care activity can
39 be difficult for both social and practical reasons.
40

41
42
43 Authors’ addresses: Tamanna Motahar, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA, tamanna.motahar@utah.edu; Jason Wiese, University of Utah, Salt
44 Lake City, Utah, USA, jason.wiese@utah.edu.

45
46 Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not
47 made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components
48 of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to
49 redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

50 © 2022 Association for Computing Machinery.
51 Manuscript submitted to ACM

2 THE POTENTIAL FOR AI TO SUPPORT PI FOR PEOPLE WITH MOTOR DISABILITIES

Our recent study [15] found that individuals with severe SCI desire the support of personalized, ability-based, and context-appropriate technology that will support them to improve their PR adherence. Notably, our findings indicate that these users would both benefit from and be interested in employing a PI lens – monitoring, reflecting, and acting. However, living with an SCI comes with many privacy and social concerns when around others. Thus, an errant reminder notification, or other technology that draws attention to them, can cause more harm than good. Perhaps AI can provide some support for reducing the occurrence of these negative impacts, and for facilitating PI systems that serve this population. For instance, the concept of context-aware just-in-time [16] reminders could provide users with dynamic control to routinize and sustain PR behaviors while accommodating contextual constraints and appropriate opportunities to act [12]. We envision a future PR reminder system that leverages AI to facilitate personalized assistance and reflection for this population and helps them achieve their health goals with minimal additional cognitive load. Additionally, AI can help to accommodate individual levels of disability, where the severity of complications and other comorbidities resulting from SCI can vary broadly [13]. Recent research [11] has also pointed out that individual abilities can fluctuate over time, further complicating this landscape – AI-driven personal informatics could help monitor these fluctuations in users’ ability levels and dynamically adjust to support the required adjustment in the self-care routines.

3 CHALLENGES OF INTEGRATING AI INTO PI SYSTEMS FOR PEOPLE WITH SEVERE SCI

Introducing AI has the potential to improve PI systems for people with SCI, but these opportunities also come with new challenges. We describe some foreseeable challenges to integrating AI into PI for people with severe SCI and possible approaches for managing these challenges.

3.1 Challenges of collecting research data can make it hard to use AI approaches

The lives of some individuals with motor disabilities can be unpredictable. Their basic needs, medical conditions, and physical abilities can all have a negative impact on their ability to participate in HCI research at all, and on the extent to which they can engage in data collection methods [11]. This has implications for how researchers can possibly collect the data necessary to enable the types of AI-powered systems we are envisioning. One approach to this challenge is to innovate on the AI side to develop approaches that work even with small or sparse data-sets. Another approach is to explore opportunities to improve the mechanisms for collecting data such that they are unaffected by these limitations. For example, automated data collection, or collaborative tracking with a family member or caregiver, are both ways that we can reduce the data collection burden on these individuals.

3.2 Barriers to interaction affect how AI might interpret usage data

Our recent work [15] has brought into sharp relief the fact that for many individuals who use PWCs, using a mobile device like a smartphone is typically challenging; it’s sometimes inconvenient and other times completely impossible. The challenges can include situational issues – for example if the user is actively in transport it is even harder to pay attention to their device than it would be for somebody who is walking and can easily pull their phone out of their pocket – but it can also include basic interaction challenges that stem directly from the motor disability. Thus, even if we successfully create AI-powered systems that are targeted at the needs of this population, we must also be careful with how AI interprets usage data. A notification that appears to have been ignored may have less to do with overall adherence and more to do with systematic differences in how these users interact with their technology. Thus, collected

105 data will likely need to be interpreted differently. One approach to this is for AI to be more explicit in the interpretations
106 it has, and to provide opportunities to adjust those interpretations.
107

108 **3.3 Individual differences between- and within-individuals can further complicate the data**

109 There can be many differences in physical abilities among individuals who have sustained severe SCI – strength,
110 sensation, and dexterity are examples of some dimensions that can vary dramatically and are not necessarily symmetric
111 between right and left sides. Individual abilities differ so much that describing them is often qualitative. Thus, collecting
112 data from these individuals for AI-based model in training purpose and incorporating them into PI technology will
113 not be straightforward. For instance, people with severe SCI may have a different level of hand/arm functionality and
114 upper-body or lower-body sensation that may introduce several interaction challenges in data collection. Further
115 complicating this landscape is the fact that even for the same individual, individual abilities can change and vary over
116 time, both situationally and as they improve or recover. Being robust to these individual differences. One approach to
117 addressing the uncertain nature of automated data collection in the face of individual differences is employing multiple
118 methods and sources (e.g., multiple body locations for sensor data) for AI-based decision-making. This could help the
119 AI decision-making process to be more adaptive and less biased by capturing more data points per individual.
120
121
122
123
124

125 **3.4 PI systems without an explicit focus on people with severe SCI and other motor disabilities are likely** 126 **to miss important factors that limit their utility for this population**

127 To support people with severe SCI with their unique health needs, designing AI-based PI technology requires an explicit
128 focus on their unique requirements. For instance, assistive mobility tools differ widely according to the severity of
129 SCI –people may use a use cane, walker, crutches, or manual wheelchair for less severe SCI whereas individuals with
130 severe SCI mostly use PWCs [4]. Thus, AI-based PI system designs need to consider specific interactions paradigms
131 for this population. Notably, Epstein et al.’s mapping review on PI literature [10] identified only four works that
132 involved wheelchair users [3, 5, 6, 14] and none of those deployed PI systems to their participants. Additionally, three
133 of them [3, 5, 6] focused almost exclusively on manual wheelchair users – except for one participant in [3] who used a
134 power wheelchair. Despite participants across all of these four works being interested in PI, they acknowledge that the
135 existing PI technologies are not explicitly designed for them, thus excluding them from getting value from PI systems. If
136 we hope for people with motor disabilities to be able to get value from PI systems – even ones that do not specifically
137 target motor disability issues – these systems must be designed and examined with these target users. It is not a safe
138 assumption that PI systems that are designed for a non-disabled population – for example, financial tracking – will
139 necessarily work for this population. Thus, explicit focus on the people with severe SCI is needed to design the AI-based
140 PI systems to support their unique needs.
141
142
143
144
145
146

147 **4 CONCLUSION**

148 PI systems have the potential for serious positive impact on the lives of people with SCI, and other motor disabilities,
149 and AI plays a significant role in that potential. Yet, there are numerous challenges and pitfalls in realizing this potential.
150 It is easy to gloss over these concerns and instead focus on “PI for the masses.” However, if PI researchers would like for
151 these systems to be accessible and useful by all, we must figure out as a community how to value contributions that
152 support these and other marginalized or underrepresented populations in a way that is proportional to the effort it
153 takes to do that work.
154
155
156

REFERENCES

- [1] JHA Bloemen-Vrencken, LP De Witte, MWM Post, and WJA Van den Heuvel. 2007. Health behaviour of persons with spinal cord injury. *Spinal Cord* 45, 3 (2007), 243–249.
- [2] Ayşe G Büyüktür, Mark S Ackerman, Mark W Newman, and Pei-Yao Hung. 2017. Design considerations for semi-automated tracking: self-care plans in spinal cord injury. In *Proceedings of the 11th EAI International Conference on Pervasive Computing Technologies for Healthcare*. 183–192.
- [3] Patrick Carrington, Kevin Chang, Helena Mentis, and Amy Hurst. 2015. "But, I don't take steps" Examining the Inaccessibility of Fitness Trackers for Wheelchair Athletes. In *Proceedings of the 17th international acm sigaccess conference on computers & accessibility*. 193–201.
- [4] Patrick Carrington, Amy Hurst, and Shaun K Kane. 2014. Wearables and chairables: inclusive design of mobile input and output techniques for power wheelchair users. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on human factors in computing systems*. 3103–3112.
- [5] Patrick Carrington, Denzel Ketter, and Amy Hurst. 2017. Understanding fatigue and stamina management opportunities and challenges in wheelchair basketball. In *Proceedings of the 19th International ACM SIGACCESS Conference on Computers and Accessibility*. 130–139.
- [6] Patrick Carrington, Gierad Laput, and Jeffrey P Bigham. 2018. Exploring the data tracking and sharing preferences of wheelchair athletes. In *Proceedings of the 20th international acm sigaccess conference on computers and accessibility*. 242–248.
- [7] National SCI Statistical Center. 2019. Spinal Cord Injury Facts and Figures at a Glance.
- [8] Yuying Chen, Ying Tang, Lawrence Vogel, and Michael DeVivo. 2013. Causes of spinal cord injury. *Topics in spinal cord injury rehabilitation* 19, 1 (2013), 1–8.
- [9] Upol Ehsan, Q Vera Liao, Michael Muller, Mark O Riedl, and Justin D Weisz. 2021. Expanding explainability: towards social transparency in AI systems. In *Proceedings of the 2021 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1–19.
- [10] Daniel A Epstein, Clara Caldeira, Mayara Costa Figueiredo, Xi Lu, Lucas M Silva, Lucretia Williams, Jong Ho Lee, Qingyang Li, Simran Ahuja, Qiuer Chen, et al. 2020. Mapping and taking stock of the personal informatics literature. *Proceedings of the ACM on Interactive, Mobile, Wearable and Ubiquitous Technologies* 4, 4 (2020), 1–38.
- [11] Kazi Sinthia Kabir, Ahmad Alsalem, and Jason Wiese. 2021. The Impact of Spinal Cord Injury on Participation in Human-Centered Research. In *Designing Interactive Systems Conference 2021*. 1902–1914.
- [12] Young-Ho Kim, Jae Ho Jeon, Bongshin Lee, Eun Kyoung Choe, and Jinwook Seo. 2017. OmniTrack: a flexible self-tracking approach leveraging semi-automated tracking. *Proceedings of the ACM on interactive, mobile, wearable and ubiquitous technologies* 1, 3 (2017), 1–28.
- [13] J Lazar, HJ Feng, and H Hochheiser. 2017. Working with research participants with disabilities: Research Methods in Human Computer Interaction.
- [14] Meethu Malu and Leah Findlater. 2017. Sharing automatically tracked activity data: implications for therapists and people with mobility impairments. In *Proceedings of the 11th EAI International Conference on Pervasive Computing Technologies for Healthcare*. 136–145.
- [15] Tamanna Motahar, Isha GHosh, and Jason Wiese. 2022. Identifying factors that inhibit self-care behavior among individuals with severe spinal cord injury. In *Proceedings of the 2022 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (To appear)*.
- [16] Inbal Nahum-Shani, Shawna N Smith, Bonnie J Spring, Linda M Collins, Katie Witkiewitz, Ambuj Tewari, and Susan A Murphy. 2018. Just-in-time adaptive interventions (JITAs) in mobile health: key components and design principles for ongoing health behavior support. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine* 52, 6 (2018), 446–462.
- [17] World Health Organization. 2013. *Spinal cord injury*. Retrieved March 4, 2022 from <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/spinal-cord-injury>
- [18] MA Van Loo, MWM Post, JHA Bloemen, and FWA Van Asbeck. 2010. Care needs of persons with long-term spinal cord injury living at home in the Netherlands. *Spinal Cord* 48, 5 (2010), 423–428.